

Strategic Planning & Environment Overview & Scrutiny Agenda

TUESDAY 14 JUNE 2016 AT 7.30 PM

Council Chamber - Civic Centre

The Councillors listed below are requested to attend the above meeting, on the day and at the time and place stated, to consider the business set out in this agenda.

Membership

Councillor G Adshead Councillor Hicks
Councillor Anderson (Chairman) Councillor Howard
Councillor Ashbourn Councillor Matthews
Councillor Bateman Councillor Ransley
Councillor E Collins Councillor Riddick
Councillor Fisher Councillor Timmis

Councillor S Hearn Councillor C Wyatt-Lowe (Vice-Chairman)

Substitute Members:

Councillors Birnie, Link, Ritchie, R Sutton and Tindall

For further information, please contact Katie Mogan or Member Support

AGENDA

8. PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND REGENERATION Q4 PERFORMANCE REPORT (Pages 2 - 16)



AGENDA ITEM:

SUMMARY

Report for:	Strategic Planning and Environment Overview & Scrutiny Committee
Date of meeting:	14 June 2016
PART:	1
If Part II, reason:	

Title of report:	Quarter 4 and End of Year 2015/16 Performance Report – Planning, Development and Regeneration
Contact:	Cllr Graham Sutton, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regeneration
	James Doe, Assistant Director – Planning, Development and Regeneration
Purpose of report:	To report on service performance for the end of year and fourth quarter of 2015/16, and to provide an update on the Operational Risk Register.
Recommendations	That the report be noted.
Corporate objectives:	The report focuses on the service plan for the area and key performance indicators. All corporate objectives are therefore relevant.
Implications:	<u>Financial</u>
	None arising directly from this report.
'Value For Money Implications'	Value for Money
Implications	None arising directly from this report.
Risk Implications	Risk Assessment completed as part of the service plan.
Equalities Implications	None arising from this report.

Health And Safety Implications	None arising from this report.
Consultees:	Cllr Graham Sutton, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regeneration.
	Mark Gaynor, Corporate Director for Housing and Regeneration
	Sara Whelan, Group Manager for Development Management and Planning
	Chris Taylor, Group Manager for Strategic Planning and Regeneration
Background papers:	Planning and Regeneration Service Plan 2015-16 Performance information held on the CorVu system.

Introduction

- 1. The report provides the end of year position for 2015/16, with a report on performance for Q4. A presentation will be given on major projects carried out by the service during 2015/16.
- **2.** Overall, performance has been strong this past business year, with three exceptions running at amber to report for the year end. This is covered below.

Performance Indicators

- 3. <u>Building Control</u>. As reported to the Committee in the Q3 report, this year has been a challenge for the service in terms of staff turnover and a large rise in workload. Income has exceeded the budget target by over £29,000 at £573,322 (FIN15). Despite workload pressures, the turnaround time for applications received was maintained at 100% within the two month target timescale (BC01).
- 4. <u>Development Management</u>. As with Building Control, this has been a challenging year for the service with the same issues of staff turnover and increased workload. Applications received were up again (DMP02) on 2014/15 by a further 5% with 2,559 coming in 2015/16 compared to 2426 the previous year.
- 5. It has been a record year for planning fee income (FIN16). Fees received were 30% up on last year at £953,897; and some 29% against target budgeted income.
- 6. This year has seen a significant improvement in processing times for planning applications (DMP04, 05 and 06).
- 7. For major planning applications, the target of 60% of applications determined in 13 weeks was exceeded comfortably at 83.33%, a big improvement on the relatively poor end to 2014/15 where only 33.33% were determined within time.
- 8. Minor applications were on target at 65%.

- 9. The 'other' category of applications the bulk of the service's workload finished the year at 77.39%, just under the 80% target and therefore showing as amber. However, the service is on a rising trend with Q4 performance at 86.5%, and a similar result in Q3.
- 10. The refusal rate for planning applications remains low, with only 7.67% of applications refused, well ahead of the 12% target (DMP07). This means that 92% of applications were approved a measure which helps to support the development process, saves the Council time and money, and is good for the Council's reputation as open for business.
- 11. The proportion of applications refused by the Council and then subject to an appeal to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government has risen significantly to 32.5% compared to just under 17% last year, albeit within the 35% target (DMP03). This may reflect growing confidence in the development industry to challenge Local Authorities' decisions as the local economy continues to perform well. Members need to note that additional appeals will place further burdens on the resources of the service. This factor is however mitigated by proactive work carried out at the pre-application stage which helps to limit problems during the processing phase of applications, and limit the need to refuse proposals.
- 12. Finally, the validation of planning applications (DMP08) remains on target at 72% validated within three working days of receipt.
- 13. <u>Planning Enforcement</u>. Performance has remained high. The Council's approved Local Enforcement Plan sets out priorities for investigations into three categories. All Priority 1 cases were visited within 1 working day (PE01). Priority 2 and 3 cases came in at 92.9% and 97.9% for the target visiting times of 10 and 15 working days respectively (PE02 and PE03).
- 14. <u>Land Charges</u>. This is a competitive service, and workload and business remains very high, with just over 2500 search applications received in 2014/15 (LC03). Performance has improved strongly to finish at an average processing time of 8.16 days against the target of ten. Income was just above target at £284,422 received in search fees (FIN15).
- 15. <u>Strategic Planning and Regeneration</u>. There was positive progress on business development with a net growth of 460 businesses registered for VAT. 14 new apprenticeships were created in the Borough, against the target of 40. This is unfortunately the only indicator running at red, and a difficult one for the Council to control directly.
- 16. 2015/16. The format for the forthcoming reports will remain similar, but Members should note that there will be additional and new performance indicators as a result of a review to more close align the PIs with risk and corporate/service plan issues.

Operational Risk Register

17. The risk register is at appendix 2. This has been reviewed recently. Questions on the register are invited at the meeting.

Projects led by the Service

18. A presentation will be given by Officers.

SPE OSC QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REPORT

Planning, Development and Regeneration

March 2016



Measure	Owner & Updater	Mar 2015 Result	Trend	Dec 2015 Result	Trend	Mar 2016 Result	Sign Off	Comments	Flag
BC01 - Percentage of Building Control Applications determined within 2 months	Sara Whelan Andrew Howard	100% (137/137) Target: 100	-	100% (149/149) Target: 100	→	100% (164/164) Target: 100	•	Updater Target met Owner Good result	
DMP02 - Number of planning applications received	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	677 Applications Info Only	\	614 Applications Info Only		645 Applications Info Only		Owner Noted	
DM 03 - Percentage of planning aspectation refusals appealed against on	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	3.39% (2/59) Target: 35	\	36.36% (8/22) Target: 35	→	24% (6/25) Target: 35	•	Updater Target met Owner Good to see a lower amount of decision being appealed	
DMP04 - Percentage of major applications determined within 13 weeks (YTD)	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	33.33% (1/3) Target: 60	→	85.71% (6/7) Target: 60	\	83.33% (5/6) Target: 60	•	Updater 4 of these were subject to an agreed extension of time. Owner Good result and exceeds target	
DMP05 - Percentage of minor applications determined within 8 weeks	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	47.13% (41/87) Target: 65	→	70.51% (55/78) Target: 65	→	82.89% (63/76) Target: 65	•	Owner Great result	
DMP06 - Percentage of other applications determined within 8 weeks	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	66.12% (162/245) Target: 80	→	85.6% (220/257) Target: 80	→	86.5% (237/274) Target: 80	•	Owner Good result especially considering the high amount of applications submitted	



Report run: 27/04/2016



Measure	Owner & Updater	Mar 2015 Result	Trend	Dec 2015 Result	Trend	Mar 2016 Result	Sign Off	Comments	Flag
DMP07 - Percentage of planning applications refused	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	9.97% (30/301) Target: 12	→	6.47% (22/340) Target: 10	\	6.5% (26/400) Target: 10	✓	Owner Good level of refusal	
DMP08 - Percentage of planning applications validated within 3 working days	Sara Whelan Joan Reid	78% (281/359) Target: 70	\	77% (579/751) Target: 70	\	74% (597/806) Target: 70	•	Owner Slightly above target but a lot more applications received in planning registry compared to March 2015	
FIN15 - Building Control Income ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£560825 Target: 521000	\(\)	£410625 Target: 412751	→	£573322 Target: 544000	1	Updater	
FIN16 - Planning Fees ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£822458 Target: 662950	>	£733477 Target: 600675	→	£953897 Target: 740000	1	Updater	
FIR17 - Search Fees ytd actual against projled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£293385 Target: 280000	\	£224491 Target: 210000	\	£284422 Target: 280000	1	Updater	
LC03 - Volume of Local Land Charges Searches Received	Sara Whelan Ann Stowe	633 Searches Info Only	\	582 Searches Info Only	\	552 Searches Info Only	•	Updater The volume of searches has dropped by 5% this quarter. We have had a slow start to the year and an early Easter Break. There is a 12.8% decrease on the figures for March 2015. Owner Noted that there is a decrease in searches - will keep an eye on demand coming in	

Report run: 27/04/2016

Measure	Owner & Updater	Mar 2015 Result	Trend	Dec 2015 Result	Trend	Mar 2016 Result	Sign Off	Comments	Flag
LC04 - Average time taken to process an official Local Land Charges search	Sara Whelan Ann Stowe	10.95 Days Target: 10	≯	6.44 Days Target: 10	*	4.82 Days Target: 10	✓	Updater A decrease in the turnaround time this quarter as we have been relatively quiet. There is a 56% improvement on the turnaround for March 2015. Owner Good result but noted that this is a quiet period	
PE01 - Percentage of priority 1 enforcement cases visited within 1 working day	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	100% (1/1) Target: 100	-	100% (8/8) Target: 100	→	100% (6/6) Target: 100	•	Updater All of the highest priority cases visited within the required 24 hours Owner Noted - good result	
PE02 - Percentage of priority 2 enforcement cases visited within 10 werking days	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	100% (51/51) Target: 100	\	91.9% (57/62) Target: 100	\	90.3% (56/62) Target: 100	•	Owner Will keep an eye on this result and should be back to 100% asap	
PEG3 - Percentage of priority 3 enforcement cases visited within 15 working days	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	98.4% (62/63) Target: 100	→	98.4% (63/64) Target: 100	→	100% (60/60) Target: 100	•	Updater Target met Owner Noted - good result	
SPR05 - Number of new homes completed	Chris Taylor Francis Whittaker	28 Homes Info Only		149 Homes Info Only	_	128 Homes Info Only	×		

Report run: 27/04/2016

March 2016



Planning Development & Regeneration - James Doe

PDR_F01 Market fails to bring forward because of continuing economic uncertainty

Category: Financial		Corporate Priority: Regeneration		Risk Owner: James Doe	Portfolio Holder: Cllr Graham Sutton	Tolerance: Treating	
Inh	erent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
Pa	3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	3 Likely	2 Medium	6 Amber	
	of the community in t		Economic Development Stra	Controls Itegy in place with review	Economic Development Stra	~··	
local services will not be met. process planned in Dacorum Development dedicated team and bu Participation in county Corporate actions; de managed through Corp Dacorum: Look No Fu operational with £150,4 Submission made to t Fund for a range of pro New Town Centre tea				le initiatives and Partnership oments monitored and e Regeneration Group; initiative in place and	Economic Development Strategy published athttp://www.dacorumlooknofurther.co.uk/docs/defaul t-document-library/ed-strategy-brochure-web-pdf.pdf? sfvrsn=0 Dacorum Development Programme at http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-source/strategic-planning/ddpjanuary2013.pdf?sfvrsn=0 Regeneration proposals generally at http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/home/regeneration See promotional information at		
			onwards to allow TC manage	ement to continue	www.dacorumlooknofurthe Town Centre Strategy at	r.co.uk	

12/06/2016 11:46AM Page 1 of 8

March 2016



http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/home/council-democracy/meetings-minutes-and-agendas/events/2014/10/21/cabinet/cabinet

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

PDR_F02 External funding sources are reduced or disappear							
Category:	Corporate Priority: Regeneration		Risk Owner: James Doe	Portfolio Holder: Cllr Graham Sutton	Tolerance: Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	2 Unlikely	4 Severe	8 Amber		
Consec	quences	Current	Controls	Assurance			
Key projects fail to come for	ward.	Robust project management minimise risk to the Council ensure timely spend to avoid Corporate Project Managem Management of cases throu Programme Board.	in seeking new funds and to d clawback of grant funding. nent systems	Cabinet reports on Water Ga Cabinet reports on Maylands Bid submission to Herts LEP			
Sign Off and Comments							
Sign Off Complete							

12/06/2016 11:46AM Page 2 of 8

March 2016



PDR_F03 Key income streams do not meet planning fees, building regulations and local land charges income budgets

Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:	
Financial	Regeneration		James Doe	Cllr Graham Sutton	Treating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	2 Unlikely	3 High	6 Amber	
	quences	Current	Controls	Assurance		
- Shortfall on budget and poreduction		Monthly monitoring of deve with Accountancy at GM and	•	Budget preparation for 2016 income from planning fees.	5/17 plans for increased	
Sign Off and Comments						

Sign Off Complete

PDR_I01 Failure to deliver on the Regeneration and Sustainability Agenda by Insufficent Capac

Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Infrastructure	Regeneration		James Doe	Cllr Graham Sutton	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
3	4 12		2	3	6
Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	High	Amber
Consequences		Current	Controls	Assu	rance
·			·		

12/06/2016 11:46AM Page 3 of 8

March 2016



Regeneration projects fail, are delayed or go over budget.	 SPAR team fully in place Formation of corporate regeneration group has brought in further support and capacity Projects monitored through Dacorum Regeneration 	Project PIDs and governance in place, particularly Corporate Regeneration Group and Dacorum Regeneration Programme Board.
Page	Programme Board and Steering Group	See Cabinet report Dec 2013 regarding Hemel Evolution project management arrangements http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-source/council-democracy/cabinet13-12-17hemel-evolution-reportfinal-report-jd-comments.pdf? sfvrsn=0
		Work now progressing on site for the Marlowes Shopping Zone improvements and on schedule.
N	Sign Off and Comments	

Sign Off Complete

PDR_I02 Failure to deliver on the Regeneration and Sustainability Agenda by lack of internal expertise **Corporate Priority:** Portfolio Holder: **Category: Risk Owner: Tolerance:** Regeneration Treating Infrastructure James Doe Cllr Graham Sutton **Inherent Probability Inherent Impact Inherent Risk Score Residual Probability Residual Impact Residual Risk Score** 3 4 12 2 3 Likely Unlikely High Amber Severe Red Consequences **Current Controls** Assurance Qualified staf appointed. See Dec 2013 Cabinet report for Hemel Evolution Regeneration projects fail, are delayed or go over budget. project management arrangements Specialist expertise has been brought in using project http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-

12/06/2016 11:46AM Page 4 of 8

March 2016



	5 , 5	source/council-democracy/cabinet13-12-17hemel-evolution-reportfinal-report-jd-comments.pdf? sfvrsn=0			
Sign Off and Comments					

Sign Off Complete

PDR_I03 Failure to deliver on the Regeneration and Sustainability Agenda by Failure of partners to engage							
Category:		' '		Risk Owner: James Doe	Portfolio Holder: Cllr Graham Sutton	Tolerance: Treating	
O Inherent Probability		Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
13	3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	2 Unlikely	3 High	6 Amber	
	Consequences		Current Controls		Assurance		
Regeneration projects fail, are delayed or go over budget.			Regular engagement with key partners and stakeholders through direct project management and through Dacorum Regeneration Programme Board. Water Gardens funding report to Cabine http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/home/courdemocracy/meetings-minutes-andagendas/events/2014/07/22/cabinet/cal		k/home/regeneration/heme ad-masterplan ort to Cabinet at k/home/council- es-and-		
Sign Off and Comments							
Sign Off Complete							

12/06/2016 11:46AM Page 5 of 8

March 2016



PDR_I04 Failure of Business Continuity Plan to keep critical and key services running							
Category: Infrastructure	Corporate Priority: Dacorum Delivers		Risk Owner: James Doe	Portfolio Holder: Cllr Graham Sutton	Tolerance: Tolerating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
2 Unlikely	3 High	6 Amber	1 Very Unlikely	3 High	3 Green		
Consequences		Current Controls		Assurance			
- ds of service to the public - mrm to Council's reputation - duty to meet legal requirer - potential loss of income ar	on ments is impaired	Actions in Corporate Busines Prioritisation of key service i other failure.	·	Corporate Business Continuity Plan			
Sign Off and Comments							
Sign Off Complete							

PDR_I05 Workforce Planning fails to prevent service failure						
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:	
Infrastructure	Dacorum Delivers		James Doe	Cllr Graham Sutton	Treating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
3	4	12	2	4	8	
Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	Severe	Amber	
Consec	quences	Current Controls		Assurance		
Service cannot be delivered are reduced	effectively if staffing levels	Workforce development plan as drafted		Workforce Development Plan		

12/06/2016 11:46AM Page 6 of 8

March 2016



Timely filling of posts and rearrangement of responsibilities where appropriate when staff leave

Review of need for trainees to be developed in house to deal with recruitment and retention issues caused by a strong professional jobs market in 2015.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

POR_R01 Local Development Framework (LDF) fails to meet milestones in Local Development Scheme						
Category:	cy: Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:	
Reputational	eputational Dacorum Delivers		James Doe	Cllr Graham Sutton	Tolerating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	1 Very Unlikely	3 High	3 Green	
Consec	quences	Current Controls		Assurance		
The Council is left without as plan and unable to resist ina developments (eg in the Greeffectively for future growth	ppropriate new en belt) and unable to plan	 Core Strategy adopted September 2013 - a major task and milestone achieved, to make the rest of the process achievable Project management and monitoring of progress against the Local Development Scheme LDS revised December 2014 to set out work pro 		Core Strategy published on line at http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/home/planning-development/planning-strategic-planning/local-planning-framework/core-strategy Report to Cabinet http://web.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-source/council-democracy/core-strategy-adoption		

12/06/2016 11:46AM Page 7 of 8

March 2016

Sign Off Complete



report-(373-kb).pdf?sfvrsn=0

supplementary report to Cabinet on 17 Sep at http://web.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/defaultsource/council-democracy/core-strategy-adoption--report-supplement---core-strategy-legal-challenge-(572kb).pdf?sfvrsn=0 Further report to Cabinet on next steps with Local Planning Framework Dec 2013 Page http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/defaultsource/council-democracy/annual-monitoring-reportand-lpf---report-(187-kb).pdf?sfvrsn=0 6 Local Development Scheme at http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/defaultsource/strategic-planning/lds-2014-final-version.pdf? sfvrsn=0 Other cabinet reports on Local Planning Framework progress **Sign Off and Comments**

12/06/2016 11:46AM Page 8 of 8